

Nicolas Lamoure
PhD candidate in linguistics
Cecilia Poletto & Katharina Hartmann
Goethe Universität Frankfurt
Nicolas.lamoure@live.de

Abstract: Left conjunct and resolved “agreement” in S-selection

My research on nominal coordination has revealed that German differs from Italian with respect to agreement. While Italian exhibits *left conjunct agreement* with gender mismatches (1) German requires *resolved agreement* which can only be spelt out in case a syncretic form is available (3). In absence of such a form, an unacceptable utterance obtains (2):

- | | | | | | | | |
|-----|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------|
| 1.) | Questa | scuola di musica | e | centro culturale | è stata distrutta | | |
| | This.fem | school.fem of music | and | center.masc of culture | was | | |
| | destroyed.fem | | | | | | |
| 2.) | *Diese | Musikschule | und | Kulturzentrum | wurde zerstört | | |
| | This.fem | school.fem of music | and | center.neut of culture | was destroyed | | |
| 3.) | Diesem Kind | und | Messerstecher | traue | ich | nicht | über den Weg |
| | This.neut/masc | and | knife-stabber.masc | trust | I | not | over the way |

In this talk, I want to show that the same patterns can be found in cases of *coordination of unlike categories* (Zhang 2009):

- 4.) You can depend [_{PP} on my assistance] and [_{CP} that I will be on time]
- 5.) *You can depend on my assistance and whether I will be on time
- 6.) *You can depend that I will be on time and on my assistance and

Following Pesetsky (1982) I assume that (verbal) selection reduces to S(emantic)-selection and L(exical)-selection. Data as in 4.) then show that (i) S-selection targets resolved agreement (cf. 5), while (ii) L-selection targets the left conjunct (cf. 6). I claim that this can be accounted for by assuming the same set of assumptions that derive the data in 1.) – 3.): Interpretable features (the ones that S-selection is sensitive to) can be used as a label (Chomsky 2015), while uninterpretable ones (the ones that are relevant for L-selection) cannot.

Additional support for this type of analysis comes from Rizzi’s criterial freezing (Rizzi 2016). Combining both assumptions /approaches successfully predicts the Coordinate Structure Constraint (Smith in press).

Literaturverzeichnis

Chomsky, Noam (2015): Problems of projection: Extensions. In: Elisa Di Domenico, Cornelia Hamann und Simona Matteini (Hg.): Structures, Strategies and Beyond. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company (223), S. 1–16. Online verfügbar unter <https://benjamins.com/catalog/la.223.01cho>.

Pesetsky, David Michael (1982): Paths and categories. Doctoral Dissertation (Ph.D.). Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Dept. of Linguistics and Philosophy. Online verfügbar unter <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/15467>, zuletzt geprüft am 13.04.2019.

Rizzi, Luigi (2016): Labeling, maximality and the head – phrase distinction. In: *The Linguistic Review* 33 (1), S. 345. DOI: 10.1515/tlr-2015-0016.

Zhang, Niina Ning (2009): Coordination in syntax. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (Cambridge studies in linguistics, 123).